

A noncommutative semi-discrete Toda equation and its quasideterminant solutions

C.X. Li¹ and J.J.C. Nimmo²

¹School of Mathematical Sciences,
Capital Normal University
Beijing 100037, CHINA

²Department of Mathematics,
University of Glasgow
Glasgow G12 8QW, UK

Abstract

A noncommutative version of the semi-discrete Toda equation is considered. A Lax pair and its Darboux transformations and binary Darboux transformations are found and they are used to construct two families of quasideterminant solutions.

1 Introduction

Recently, there has been a lot of interest in noncommutative versions of some well-known soliton equations, such as the KP equation, the KdV equation, the Hirota-Miwa equation, the modified KP equation and the two-dimensional Toda lattice [1–14]. There are a number of reasons for this lack of commutativity. For example, the variables might be square matrices or quaternions and so on. Another natural way in which the variables fail to commute is because of a quantization of the phase space resulting in the normal product being replaced by a Moyal product. In the approach taken here, it is not necessary to specify the reason for the lack of commutativity. Often, the noncommutative version is obtained simply by assuming that the coefficients in the Lax pair of the commutative equation do not commute.

Quasideterminants [15–17] play the much same role in noncommutative algebra as determinants do in standard, commutative algebra. They arise in many situations where determinants appear in commutative algebra and this suggests that they are a very natural structure to use when working with noncommutative integrable systems. In particular, determinants are ubiquitous as solutions of commutative integrable systems and one way to obtain these is through the use of Darboux or binary Darboux transformations. Quasideterminant solutions of noncommutative versions of these integrable systems arise in the same way. The reader is referred to the original papers [15–17] for a detailed and general treatment of quasideterminants. See also [14] for a summary of the key results used in the current paper.

The semi-discrete Toda equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \log \left(\frac{v_n^{k+1}}{v_n^k} \right) = v_{n+1}^{k+1} + v_{n-1}^k - v_n^k - v_n^{k+1}, \quad (1.1)$$

was first considered in [18]. Some other related results were presented in [19–21].

If one writes

$$v_n^k = \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{k+1} \tau_{n-1}^k}{\tau_n^{k+1} \tau_n^k},$$

and τ_n^k satisfies the Hirota bilinear equation

$$D_t \tau_n^{k+1} \cdot \tau_n^k - \tau_{n+1}^{k+1} \tau_{n-1}^k + \tau_n^{k+1} \tau_n^k = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

then v_n^k satisfies (1.1). In (1.2) D_t denotes Hirota's bilinear operator defined by [22]

$$D_t^n a(t) \cdot b(t) \equiv \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \right)^n a(t) b(t')|_{t'=t}.$$

This paper is concerned with the following noncommutative generalization of (1.1),

$$v_n^k u_n^k = u_n^{k+1} v_{n+1}^k, \quad (1.3)$$

$$v_{n+1,t}^k + u_{n+1}^{k+1} - u_n^k = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

in which u_n and v_n and their derivatives do not commute in general. By introducing new variable X_n^k where

$$u_n^k = X_n^k (X_{n+1}^k)^{-1}, \quad v_n^k = X_n^{k+1} (X_n^k)^{-1}$$

(1.3) is satisfied identically and (1.4) becomes

$$(X_{n+1}^{k+1} (X_{n+1}^k)^{-1})_t + X_{n+1}^{k+1} (X_{n+2}^{k+1})^{-1} - X_n^k (X_{n+1}^k)^{-1} = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

In the commutative reduction, it is easy to show, by writing $X_n^k = \tau_{n-1}^k / \tau_n^k$, that (1.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{n+1}^{k+1} \tau_{n+1}^k (D_t \tau_n^{k+1} \cdot \tau_n^k - \tau_{n+1}^{k+1} \tau_{n-1}^k + \tau_n^{k+1} \tau_n^k) \\ & - \tau_n^k \tau_n^{k+1} (D_t \tau_{n+1}^{k+1} \cdot \tau_{n+1}^k - \tau_{n+2}^{k+1} \tau_n^k + \tau_{n+1}^{k+1} \tau_{n+1}^k) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is satisfied whenever the bilinear semi-discrete Toda equation (1.2) is satisfied, thus verifying that indeed (1.3)–(1.4) is a noncommutative generalization of (1.1). For the rest of this paper, we will refer to (1.5) as the noncommutative semi-discrete Toda equation.

The main results of this paper are to show that this noncommutative system is integrable in the sense that it has a Lax pair and the associated Darboux and binary Darboux transformations may be iterated to construct families of exact solutions. We show how these solutions may be expressed in terms of quasideterminants of two different types.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present a Lax pair and its Darboux transformation and describe how iteration of this transformation gives quasicasoratian solutions. An adjoint linear problem and binary Darboux transformations are discussed in Section 3, and quasigrammian solutions are obtained. Conclusions are given in Section 4.

2 Quasicasoratian solutions obtained by Darboux transformations

The non-commutative semi-discrete Toda lattice (1.5) has Lax pair

$$\phi_{n,t}^k = X_n^k (X_{n+1}^k)^{-1} \phi_{n+1}^k, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\phi_{n+1}^{k+1} = \phi_n^k - X_{n+1}^{k+1} (X_{n+1}^k)^{-1} \phi_{n+1}^k. \quad (2.2)$$

This was obtained by discretizing the Lax pair of the non-Abelian Toda lattice [23, 24] and simplifying the Lax pair of the semi-discrete Toda equation with self-consistent sources [21].

Let $\theta_{n,i}^k, i = 1, \dots, N$ be a particular set of eigenfunctions of the linear system and from these define the row vector $\Theta_n^k = (\theta_{n,1}^k, \dots, \theta_{n,N}^k)$. The Darboux transformation, determined by the particular solution θ_n^k , for the noncommutative semi-discrete Toda lattice is

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\phi}_n^k &= \phi_n^k - \theta_n^k (\theta_{n+1}^k)^{-1} \phi_{n+1}^k, \\ \tilde{X}_n^k &= \theta_n^k (\theta_{n+1}^k)^{-1} X_{n+1}^k.\end{aligned}$$

This transformation may be iterated by defining

$$\phi_n^k[l+1] = \phi_n^k[l] - \theta_n^k[l] (\theta_{n+1}^k[l])^{-1} \phi_{n+1}^k[l], \quad (2.3)$$

$$X_n^k[l+1] = \theta_n^k[l] (\theta_{n+1}^k[l])^{-1} X_{n+1}^k[l], \quad (2.4)$$

where $\phi_n^k[1] = \phi_n^k, X_n^k[1] = X_n^k$ and

$$\theta_n^k[l] = \phi_n^k[l] |_{\phi_n^k \rightarrow \theta_{n,l}^k}. \quad (2.5)$$

In particular,

$$\phi_n^k[2] = \phi_n^k - \theta_{n,1}^k (\theta_{n+1,1}^k)^{-1} \phi_{n+1}^k, \quad (2.6)$$

$$X_n^k[2] = \theta_{n,1}^k (\theta_{n+1,1}^k)^{-1} X_{n+1}^k. \quad (2.7)$$

In what follows, we shall show by induction that the results of N repeated Darboux transformations, $\phi_n^k[N+1]$ and $X_n^k[N+1]$, can be expressed in closed form as quasideterminants

$$\phi_n^k[N+1] = \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_n^k & \boxed{\phi_n^k} \\ \Theta_{n+1}^k & \phi_{n+1}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_{n+N}^k & \phi_{n+N}^k \end{vmatrix}, \quad X_n^k[N+1] = (-1)^N \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_n^k & \boxed{0} \\ \Theta_{n+1}^k & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_{n+N}^k & 1 \end{vmatrix} X_{n+N}^k. \quad (2.8)$$

The initial case $N = 1$ follows directly from (2.6)–(2.7). Also, using the noncommutative Jacobi identity, row homological relations and definition of quasi-Plücker coordinates (see (2.3)–(2.5) in [14] for example), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n^k[N+2] &= \phi_n^k[N+1] - \theta_n^k[N+1] \theta_{n+1}^k[N+1]^{-1} \phi_{n+1}^k[N+1] \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_n^k & \boxed{\phi_n^k} \\ \Theta_{n+1}^k & \phi_{n+1}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_{n+N}^k & \phi_{n+N}^k \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_n^k & \boxed{\theta_{n,N+1}^k} \\ \Theta_{n+1}^k & \theta_{n+1,N+1}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_{n+N}^k & \theta_{n+N,N+1}^k \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_{n+1}^k & \boxed{\theta_{n+1,N+1}^k} \\ \Theta_{n+2}^k & \theta_{n+2,N+1}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_{n+N+1}^k & \theta_{n+N+1,N+1}^k \end{vmatrix}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_{n+1}^k & \boxed{\phi_{n+1}^k} \\ \Theta_{n+2}^k & \phi_{n+2}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_{n+N+1}^k & \phi_{n+N+1}^k \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_n^k & \boxed{\phi_n^k} \\ \Theta_{n+1}^k & \phi_{n+1}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_{n+N}^k & \phi_{n+N}^k \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_n^k & \boxed{\theta_{n,N+1}^k} \\ \Theta_{n+1}^k & \theta_{n+1,N+1}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_{n+N}^k & \theta_{n+N,N+1}^k \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_{n+1}^k & \theta_{n+1,N+1}^k \\ \Theta_{n+2}^k & \theta_{n+2,N+1}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_{n+N+1}^k & \boxed{\theta_{n+N+1,N+1}^k} \end{vmatrix}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_{n+1}^k & \phi_{n+1}^k \\ \Theta_{n+2}^k & \phi_{n+2}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_{n+N+1}^k & \boxed{\phi_{n+N+1}^k} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_n^k & \theta_{n,N+1}^k & \boxed{\phi_n^k} \\ \Theta_{n+1}^k & \theta_{n+1,N+1}^k & \phi_{n+1}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_{n+N+1}^k & \theta_{n+N+1,N+1}^k & \phi_{n+N+1}^k \end{vmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
X_n^k[N+2] &= \theta_n^k[N+1] \theta_{n+1}^k[N+1]^{-1} X_{n+1}^k[N+1] \\
&= (-1)^N \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_n^k & \boxed{\theta_{n,N+1}^k} \\ \Theta_{n+1}^k & \theta_{n+1,N+1}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_{n+N}^k & \theta_{n+N,N+1}^k \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_{n+1}^k & \boxed{\theta_{n+1,N+1}^k} \\ \Theta_{n+2}^k & \theta_{n+2,N+1}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_{n+N+1}^k & \theta_{n+N+1,N+1}^k \end{vmatrix}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_{n+1}^k & \boxed{0} \\ \Theta_{n+2}^k & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_{n+N+1}^k & 1 \end{vmatrix} X_{n+N+1}^k \\
&= (-1)^N \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_n^k & \boxed{\theta_{n,N+1}^k} \\ \Theta_{n+1}^k & \theta_{n+1,N+1}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_{n+N}^k & \theta_{n+N,N+1}^k \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_{n+1}^k & \theta_{n+1,N+1}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_{n+N}^k & \theta_{n+N,N+1}^k \\ \Theta_{n+N+1}^k & \boxed{\theta_{n+N+1,N+1}^k} \end{vmatrix}^{-1} X_{n+N+1}^k \\
&= (-1)^{N+1} \begin{vmatrix} \Theta_n^k & \theta_{n,N+1}^k & \boxed{0} \\ \Theta_{n+1}^k & \theta_{n+1,N+1}^k & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \Theta_{n+N+1}^k & \theta_{n+N+1,N+1}^k & 1 \end{vmatrix} X_{n+N+1}^k.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the inductive step and the proof is complete.

3 Quasigrammian solutions obtained by binary Darboux transformations

The linear equations (2.1) and (2.2) have the formal adjoint

$$-\psi_{n,t}^k = u_{n-1}^k{}^\dagger \psi_{n-1}^k, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\psi_{n+1}^{k+1} = \psi_n^k + v_n^k{}^\dagger \psi_n^{k+1}. \quad (3.2)$$

As always with discrete Lax equations, some care is needed in defining their adjoint. The key point is that they should be chosen in such a way that the compatibility condition for (3.1)–(3.2) is identical to that of (2.1)–(2.2). In other words, (3.1)–(3.2) also form a Lax pair for (1.1).

Following the standard construction of a binary Darboux transformation, one introduces a potential $\Omega_n^k = \Omega(\phi_n^k, \psi_n^k)$ satisfying the three conditions

$$\Omega_{n,t}^k = -\psi_n^k{}^\dagger u_n^k \phi_{n+1}^k, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\Omega_n^{k+1} - \Omega_n^k = \psi_{n+1}^{k+1}{}^\dagger \phi_n^k, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\Omega_{n+1}^k - \Omega_n^k = -\psi_{n+1}^k{}^\dagger \phi_{n+1}^k. \quad (3.5)$$

A binary Darboux transformation is then defined by

$$\phi_n^k[N+1] = \phi_n^k[N] - \theta_n^k[N] \Omega(\theta_n^k[N], \rho_n^k[N])^{-1} \Omega(\phi_n^k[N], \rho_n^k[N]), \quad (3.6)$$

$$\psi_n^k[N+1] = \psi_n^k[N] - \rho_n^k[N] \Omega(\theta_{n-1}^k[N], \rho_{n-1}^k[N])^{-1} \Omega(\theta_{n-1}^k[N], \psi_{n-1}^k[N])^\dagger, \quad (3.7)$$

$$X_n[N+1] = (I + \theta_n^k[N] \Omega(\theta_n^k[N], \rho_n^k[N])^{-1} \rho_n^k[N]^\dagger) X_n^k[N], \quad (3.8)$$

where $\phi_n^k[1] = \phi_n^k$, $\psi_n^k[1] = \psi_n^k$, $X_n^k[1] = X_n^k$ and

$$\theta_n^k[N] = \phi_n^k[N]|_{\phi_n^k \rightarrow \theta_{n,N}^k}, \quad \rho_n^k[N] = \psi_n^k[N]|_{\psi_n^k \rightarrow \rho_{n,N}^k}. \quad (3.9)$$

Using the notation $\Theta_n^k = (\theta_{n,1}^k, \dots, \theta_{n,N}^k)$ and $P_n^k = (\rho_{n,1}^k, \dots, \rho_{n,N}^k)$, it is easy to prove by induction that for $N \geq 1$,

$$\phi_n^k[N+1] = \begin{vmatrix} \Omega(\Theta_n^k, P_n^k) & \Omega(\phi_n^k, P_n^k) \\ \Theta_n^k & \boxed{\phi_n^k} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\psi_n^k[N+1] = \begin{vmatrix} \Omega(\Theta_{n-1}^k, P_{n-1}^k)^\dagger & \Omega(\Theta_{n-1}^k, \psi_{n-1}^k)^\dagger \\ P_n^k & \boxed{\psi_n^k} \end{vmatrix} \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\Omega(\phi_n^k[N+1], \psi_n^k[N+1]) = \begin{vmatrix} \Omega(\Theta_n^k, P_n^k) & \Omega(\phi_n^k, P_n^k) \\ \Omega(\Theta_n^k, \psi_n^k) & \boxed{\Omega(\phi_n^k, \psi_n^k)} \end{vmatrix}. \quad (3.12)$$

We may thus after N binary Darboux transformations obtain

$$X_n^k[N+1] = - \begin{vmatrix} \Omega(\Theta_n^k, P_n^k) & P_n^{k\dagger} \\ \Theta_n^k & \boxed{-I} \end{vmatrix} X_n^k. \quad (3.13)$$

In fact, we can prove the above results by induction. Using (3.10)–(3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_n^k[N+2] &= (I + \Theta_n^k[N+1]\Omega(\Theta_n^k[N+1], P_n^k[N+1])^{-1}P_n^k[N+1]^\dagger)X_n^k[N+1] \\ &= - \left(I + \begin{vmatrix} \Omega(\Theta_n^k, P_n^k) & \Omega(\theta_{n,N+1}^k, P_n^k) \\ \Theta_n^k & \boxed{\theta_{n,N+1}^k} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \Omega(\Theta_n^k, P_n^k) & \Omega(\theta_{n,N+1}^k, P_n^k) \\ \Omega(\Theta_n^k, \rho_{n,N+1}^k) & \boxed{\Omega(\theta_{n,N+1}^k, \rho_{n,N+1}^k)} \end{vmatrix}^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \begin{vmatrix} \Omega(\Theta_{n-1}^k, P_{n-1}^k) & P_n^{k\dagger} \\ \Omega(\Theta_{n-1}^k, \rho_{n-1,N+1}^k) & \boxed{\rho_{n,N+1}^{k\dagger}} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \Omega(\Theta_n^k, P_n^k) & P_n^{k\dagger} \\ \Theta_n^k & \boxed{-I} \end{vmatrix} \right) X_n^k. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{vmatrix} \Omega(\Theta_{n-1}^k, P_{n-1}^k) & P_n^{k\dagger} \\ \Omega(\Theta_{n-1}^k, \rho_{n-1,N+1}^k) & \boxed{\rho_{n,N+1}^{k\dagger}} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \Omega(\Theta_n^k, P_n^k) & P_n^{k\dagger} \\ \Theta_n^k & \boxed{-I} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= -(\rho_{n,N+1}^{k\dagger} - \Omega(\Theta_{n-1}^k, \rho_{n-1,N+1}^k)\Omega(\Theta_{n-1}^k, P_{n-1}^k)^{-1}P_n^{k\dagger})(I + \Theta_n^k\Omega(\Theta_n^k, P_n^k)^{-1}P_n^{k\dagger}) \\ &= -\rho_{n,N+1}^{k\dagger} + \Omega(\Theta_{n-1}^k, \rho_{n-1,N+1}^k)\Omega(\Theta_{n-1}^k, P_{n-1}^k)^{-1}P_n^{k\dagger} \\ &\quad + (\Omega(\Theta_n^k, \rho_{n,N+1}^k) - \Omega(\Theta_{n-1}^k, \rho_{n-1,N+1}^k))\Omega(\Theta_n^k, P_n^k)^{-1}P_n^{k\dagger} \\ &\quad + \Omega(\Theta_{n-1}^k, \rho_{n-1,N+1}^k)\Omega(\Theta_{n-1}^k, P_{n-1}^k)^{-1}(\Omega(\Theta_{n-1}^k, P_{n-1}^k) - \Omega(\Theta_n^k, P_n^k))\Omega(\Theta_n^k, P_n^k)^{-1}P_n^{k\dagger} \\ &= -\rho_{n,N+1}^{k\dagger} + \Omega(\Theta_n^k, \rho_{n,N+1}^k)\Omega(\Theta_n^k, P_n^k)^{-1}P_n^{k\dagger} \\ &= - \begin{vmatrix} \Omega(\Theta_n^k, P_n^k) & P_n^{k\dagger} \\ \Omega(\Theta_n^k, \rho_{n,N+1}^k) & \boxed{\rho_{n,N+1}^{k\dagger}} \end{vmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$X_n^k[N+2] = - \begin{vmatrix} \Omega(\Theta_n^k, P_n^k) & \Omega(\theta_{n,N+1}^k, P_n^k) & P_n^{k\dagger} \\ \Omega(\Theta_n^k, \rho_{n,N+1}^k) & \Omega(\theta_{n,N+1}^k, \rho_{n,N+1}^k) & \rho_{n,N+1}^{k\dagger} \\ \Theta_n^k & \theta_{n,N+1}^k & \boxed{-I} \end{vmatrix} X_n^k,$$

as required.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we have described a noncommutative version of the semi-discrete Toda equation. We have obtained quasicasoratian and quasigrammian solutions by means of discrete Darboux transformations and binary Darboux transformations, respectively. We have given an inductive proof of the iterated Darboux transformations and solutions by using quasideterminant identities. Since we have not at any point specified the nature of noncommutativity, the results obtained here are valid whatever the reason for noncommutativity is.

References

- [1] B.A. Kupershmidt, KP or mKP: Noncommutative Mathematics of Lagrangian, Hamiltonian, and Integrable systems. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs (American Mathematical Society, New York), 78, 2000.
- [2] L.D. Paniak, Exact noncommutative KP and KdV multi-solitons, arXiv:hep-th/0105185 (2001).
- [3] M. Sakakibara, J. Phys. A 37 (2004) L599-L604.
- [4] N. Wang, M. Wadati, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 72 (2003) 1366-1373.
- [5] N. Wang, M. Wadati, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 72 (2003) 1881-1888.
- [6] N. Wang, M. Wadati, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 73 (2004) 1689-1698.
- [7] M. Hamanaka, Noncommutative solitons and D-branes. PhD thesis. arXiv:hep-th/0303256 (2003).
- [8] M. Hamanaka, Nucl. Phys. B 316 (2003) 77-83.
- [9] A. Dimakis, Müller-Hoissen, J. Phys. A 38 (2005) 5453-5505.
- [10] J.J.C. Nimmo, J. Phys. A 39 (2006) 5053-5065.
- [11] C.R. Gilson, J.J.C. Nimmo, J. Phys. A 40 (2007) 3839-3850.
- [12] C.R. Gilson, J.J.C. Nimmo, Y. Ohta, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 (2007) 12607-12617.
- [13] C.R. Gilson, J.J.C. Nimmo, C.M. Sooman, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 41 (2008) 085202.
- [14] C.X. Li, J.J.C. Nimmo, Proc. R. Soc. A 464 (2008) 951-966.
- [15] I.M. Gelfand, V.S. Retakh, Funkt. Anal. Prilozhen. 25 (1991) 13-25.
- [16] P. Etingof, I.M. Gelfand, V.S. Retakh, Math. Res. Lett. 5(1998) 1-12.
- [17] I.M. Gelfand, S. Gelfand, V.M. Retakh, R.L. Wilson, Adv. Math. 193 (2005) 56-141.
- [18] R. Inoue, K. Hikami, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 (1999) 6853-6868.
- [19] C.X. Li, X.B. Hu, Phys. Lett. A 329 (2004) 193-198.
- [20] J.X. Zhao, Math. Comput. Simul. 74 (2007) 388-396.
- [21] H.Y. Wang, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 330 (2007) 1128-1138.

- [22] R. Hirota, The Direct Method in Soliton Theory (Eds. A. Nagai, J.J.C. Nimmo and C.R. Gilson), CUP, 155, 2004.
- [23] A.V. Mikhailov, JETP Lett. 30 (1979) 443-448.
- [24] J.J.C. Nimmo, R. Willox, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 453 (1997) 2497-2525.